

Faculty Evaluation

Yuba Community College District
Woodland Community College
Yuba College





Yuba Community College District

- Agenda and Outcomes
 - Evaluation Philosophy
 - Development of Evaluation Process, Forms, and Philosophy
 - Evaluation Timelines
 - Evaluation Committees
 - ► Form Preview: How to use the new process
 - Other elements of the Evaluation process
 - ► Student Faculty Evaluations
 - ► Self Reflection
 - ► Right to Respond
 - ► Submission of Evaluations
 - Questions



Evaluation Philosophy

- Purpose for Evaluation:
 - To improve instruction and delivery of student services
 - To provide a basis for professional growth
 - To comply with California State/Community College laws and Regulations

"BUILDING STUDENT SUCCESS THROUGH FACULTY DEVELOPMENT"

Background

- Improving the faculty evaluation process has been a long-term goal. Our mission has been to ensure that the evaluation process is not a punitive activity, rather a productive opportunity.
- ► To achieve maximum benefit; we engaged with faculty, college academic and administrative leadership, and district services to contruite to the improvement process.
- This training and new evaluation forms are a direct result of this long-term project.



Timelines

| Fall Evaluation Cycle | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| August 1 | Distribution of Faculty Evaluation List |
| September 15 | Peer selection and appointment for fall Evaluatees |
| October 15 | Chair conducts Initial Evaluation Committee meetings |
| November 1 | Peer Evaluators complete Evaluatee observations |
| November 15 | Chair completes Evaluatee observations |
| December 15 | Chair conducts Final Summary Evaluation Committee meetings |
| February 15 | Conclusion of Continued fall evaluation process to spring |
| | |
| Spring Evaluation Cycle | |
| February 1 | Peer selection and appointment for spring Evaluatees |
| February 10 | Chair conducts Initial Evaluation Committee meetings |
| April 7 | Completion of Peer observations for spring Evaluatee |
| May 12 | Chair conducts Final Summary Evaluation Committee meetings |

Committee Members

- Non-Tenure/Tenure-Track Unit Member Committee
 - 4 Member Team
 - 1. Chair immediate supervisor or his/her designee.
 - One tenured Unit Member selected by the evaluatee and mutually acceptable to the immediate supervisor
 - 3. One tenured Unit Member selected by FT Unit Members within the same department or closely related discipline.
 - 4. One tenured Unit Member selected by the Academic Senate
- ► Tenured Faculty Evaluation Committee
 - 2 Member Team
 - 1. Chair- Immediate or Secondary Level Administrator or his/her designee who shall serve as the chair of the committee.
 - 2. A peer evaluator selected by the evaluatee and mutually acceptable to the immediate supervisor

Forms

<u>Use only the</u> <u>approved new forms:</u>

Why use only new forms?

Because our focus is now on development and improvement!

- Faculty Evaluation Form (new IE1)
- > Self-Reflection
- > Tenured Coversheet
- > Tenure Track Coversheet
- > Temporary Coversheet
- > Addendums:
 - Coach
 - Counselor
 - Librarian
 - Program Director

Self-Reflection/Evaluation Process



Student Evaluations

<u>Instructor Rating Sheet for Instructional Assignments</u>:

- Peer consults with Evaluatee for dates and times to administer forms to students for inclass and on-line classes
- ► Peer shall attempt eighty percent (80%) of students enrolled in Evaluatee's classes or 100 or more students
- ▶ Peer must administer the IE 2A or IE 2B forms to two (2) or more of the Evaluatee's classes to obtain at least the minimum percentage of students
- ▶ Peer shall type verbatim all student written comments from the Instructor Rating Forms

Counselor Survey Form for Non-Instructional Assignments:

- ▶ Peer shall attempt to eighty percent (80%) of students served or 100 or more students during the semester of evaluation
- ▶ Peer shall type verbatim all student written comments from the Counselor Survey Form

Individual Right to Respond

 The evaluatee has the right to respond and will be given a "reasonable"amount of time to write a response.

• Under normal circumstances, evaluatees should be given at least five (5) working days to respond, unless otherwise stated.

• If an evaluatee requests an extension of time to respond, it should be granted and documented.

Submission of Final Evaluation Forms to the Office of Human Resources

| Tenured Unit Member | Non-Tenure Unit Member 1st thru 4 th Years |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Tenured Coversheet | Tenure Track Coversheet |
| Peer Evaluation | Peer Evaluation |
| Supervisor Evaluation | Academic Senate Evaluation |
| Faculty Self-Reflection | Department Evaluation |
| Student Evaluations/Tally Sheets | Supervisor Evaluation |
| | Faculty Self-Reflection |
| | Student Evaluations/Tally Sheets |

Submission of Final Evaluation Forms to the Office of Human Resources

| Temporary Unit Member 1st thru 4 th Years | <u>Temporary Unit Member</u> After 4 th Year |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Temporary Coversheet | Temporary Coversheet |
| Peer Evaluation | Peer Evaluation |
| Academic Senate Evaluation | Supervisor Evaluation |
| Department Evaluation | Faculty Self-Reflection |
| Supervisor Evaluation | Student Evaluations/Tally Sheets |
| Faculty Self-Reflection | |
| Student Evaluations/Tally Sheets | |

Need Info?

Donald Grady, Chief Human Resource Officer (530) 741-6977

Dgrady1@yccd.edu

Pahua Vue, Human Resource Officer, Academics (530) 741-6978

Pvue@yccd.edu

Committee Chair at the campus or Academic Senate



Any Questions?